

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



# **HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY**

**Applicant Name:** 

LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 **United States** 

**Date of Testing:** 11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017 Test Site/Location: PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA **Test Report Serial No.:** 1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A. INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Certification CFR §20.19(b) FCC Rule Part(s): **HAC Standard:** ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset Model: LM-X210APM

Additional Model(s): LMX210APM, X210APM, LM-X210CM, LM-X210CMR, LMX210CM,

LMX210CMR, X210CM, X210CMR

**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 00715]

C63.19-2011 HAC

Category:

T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PETEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 1 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Faye 10175

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	20
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	23
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	25
9.	TEST SUMMARY	26
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	38
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	39
12.	TEST DATA	40
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	63
14.	CONCLUSION	70
15.	REFERENCES	71
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	73

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 2 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 2 01 73

### 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

#### **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

<sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 3 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 3 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM

Applicant: LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. Inc.

1000 Sylvan Avenue

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

**United States** 

Model: LM-X210APM

Additional Model(s): LMX210APM, X210APM, LM-X210CM, LM-X210CMR, LMX210CMR, LMX210CMR,

X210CM, X210CMR

Serial Number: 00715 HW Version: Rev.B

SW Version: X210APM07i
Antenna: Internal Antenna

HAC Test Configurations: GSM 850, 128, 190, 251, BT Off, WLAN Off, LTE Off

EDGE 850, 190, BT Off, WLAN Off

GSM 1900, 512, 661, 810, BT Off, WLAN Off, LTE Off

EDGE 1900, 661, BT Off, WLAN Off

UMTS V, 4132, 4183, 4233, BT Off, WLAN Off, LTE Off

HSPA V, 4183, BT Off, WLAN Off

UMTS IV, 1312, 1412, 1513, BT Off, WLAN Off, LTE Off

HSPA IV, 1412, BT Off, WLAN Off

UMTS II, 9262, 9400, 9538, BT Off, WLAN Off, LTE Off

HSPA II, 9400, BT Off, WLAN Off

LTE FDD B2; BW's: 20MHz, 15MHz, 10MHz, 5MHz, 3MHz, 1.4MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off LTE FDD B4; BW's: 20MHz, 15MHz, 10MHz, 5MHz, 3MHz, 1.4MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off

LTE FDD B5; BW's: 10MHz, 5MHz, 3MHz, 1.4MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off LTE FDD B12; BW's: 10MHz, 5MHz, 3MHz, 1.4MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off

2.4GHz WIFI; 802.11b/g/n; BT Off, Licensed Data Off

\* Note: LTE test channels for different bands and bandwidths can be found in Sect. 9.II

DUT Type: Portable Handset

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 4 0173

## Table 2-1: ZNFX210APM HAC Air Interfaces

Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service
	850	VO	Vas	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice*
GSM	1900	VO	Yes	res: Wiri of Bi	CIVIRS VOICE
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**
	850				
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice*
UIVITS	1900				
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**
	700 (B12)		Yes	Vers MISI or DT	
LTE (EDD)	850 (B5)	VD			VoLTE*
LTE (FDD)	1700 (B4)	VD		Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**
	1900 (B2)				
WIFI	2450	VD	Yes	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	VoWIFI** Google Duo**
ВТ	2450	DT	No	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A
VO = Voice Only DT = Digital Data - Not intended for CMRS Service C			C63 VoLTE Into		ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012

Type Transport	Notes:
VO = Voice Only	*Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012
DT = Digital Data - Not intended for CMRS Service	C63 VoLTE Interpretation.
VD = CMRS and IP Voice over Data Transport	**Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 5 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 3 of 73

# 3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

#### I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

#### **Axial and Radial Field Intensity**

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be  $\geq$  -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

#### **Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds
-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage o oi 75

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

### **Signal Quality**

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
<b>T4</b> > 30 dB			
Table 3-1  Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage / 01/3

# 4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

# I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 8 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage o oi 75

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

## II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm

Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec
Line Voltage: 115 VAC
Line Frequency: 60 Hz

Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

#### III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer: ITU-T


Active Frequency 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Range:

Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample 20.96 seconds

Duration: 20.90 Activity Level: 100%



Spectral Characteristic of full P.50




Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 9 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 9 01 75

ABM1 Measurement Block Diagram:



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

### IV. Test Procedure

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
  - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
  - b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
  - c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation(See Figure 4-1)
  - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
  - b. ABM1 Validation The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where  $H_c$  = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.2Ω and using V=18mV:

H<sub>c</sub> = 
$$\frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.018}{10.2})}{0.08 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 18mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of  $-10 \, \text{dB}(A/m)$  in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at  $-10 \, \text{dB}(A/m)$ . This was verified to be within  $\pm 0.5 \, \text{dB}$  of the  $-10 \, \text{dB}(A/m)$  value (see Pages 35-36).

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Frequency Response Validation
 The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

#### d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 11 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 110173





Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

/\Biliz i owoi odili validation					
WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)		
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16		
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06		
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11		
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12		
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12		
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14		

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 12 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.




Figure 4-10
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

- 3. Measurement Test Setup
  - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
    - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):




Figure 4-11 Measurement Distance




Figure 4-12 Measurement Grid

- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-15 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
  - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		raye 13 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
- c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
  - i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
- d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
  - i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (see below for GSM, see Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7):




Figure 4-13
Vocoder Analysis for ABM Noise for GSM

- 4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
  - a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
    - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
  - b. Frequency Response
    - i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
    - ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
    - iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
  - c. Signal Quality Index
    - i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 14 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 14 01 73

- measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
- ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
- iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

# V. Test Setup




Figure 4-14
Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

#### VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to shielding effects of battery cover.

## VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 13 01 73

# VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

#### 1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes since circuit-switched voice modes were worst case.

> Table 4-3 Center Channels and Frequencies

Test frequencies & associated channels				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)			
Cellular 850				
190 (GSM)	836.60			
4183 (UMTS)	836.60			
AWS 1750				
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40			
PCS 1900				
661 (GSM)	1880			
9400 (UMTS)	1880			

### 2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-14 to 9-18 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

#### 3. WIFI

The middle channel for each 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. See Tables 9-19 and 9-20 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 73

## IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-15 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 17 0173

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# 5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

#### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1
Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

## 2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level\*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

\* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new\_interpretations.htm

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

## II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

## 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Table 5-1
VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	0	-1.13	-27.54	26.41
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	50	-0.94	-27.71	26.77
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	99	-1.12	-27.56	26.44
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	0	-1.22	-28.14	26.92
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	25	-1.34	-28.12	26.78
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	50	-0.87	-27.65	26.78
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	100	0	-1.40	-28.13	26.73
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	-1.15	-27.07	25.92
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	50	-1.15	-27.34	26.19
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	99	-0.83	-27.33	26.50
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	0	-1.35	-28.08	26.73
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	25	-0.87	-28.22	27.35
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	50	-1.32	-28.46	27.14
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	100	0	-0.97	-27.76	26.79

#### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Table 5-2
AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-0.26	-0.87	5.19	4.16			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-26.86	-26.75	-27.47	-27.47	Axial	LTE B2 20MHz	18900
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	26.60	25.88	32.66	31.63			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"



Figure 5-2

Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 19 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 19 01 75

# 6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

## I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

#### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

#### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level<sup>2</sup>. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

<sup>2</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 20 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# II. DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

### 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each 802.11 standard:

Table 6-1 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-4.56	-29.51	24.95
802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-4.98	-29.45	24.47
802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-4.93	-28.58	23.65
802.11b	6	CCK	11	-4.86	-29.48	24.62

Table 6-2 802.11g SNNR by Radio Configuration

our rig order by read o configuration							
Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-4.65	-30.21	25.56	
802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-4.61	-30.24	25.63	
802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-5.03	-30.16	25.13	
802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-4.80	-30.04	25.24	
802.11g	6	16-QAM	24	-5.08	-30.43	25.35	
802.11g	6	16-QAM	36	-4.95	-30.49	25.54	
802.11g	6	64-QAM	48	-4.94	-29.99	25.05	
802.11g	6	64-QAM	54	-4.50	-30.14	25.64	

Table 6-3 802.11n 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

	802.1 III ZUMHZ BW SMNK by Kadio Configuration								
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
802.11n	20	6	BPSK	6.5	-5.14	-28.96	23.82		
802.11n	20	6	QPSK	13	-4.44	-28.92	24.48		
802.11n	20	6	QPSK	19.5	-4.32	-29.47	25.15		
802.11n	20	6	16-QAM	26	-4.97	-29.37	24.40		
802.11n	20	6	16-QAM	39	-4.90	-29.78	24.88		
802.11n	20	6	64-QAM	52	-5.42	-29.50	24.08		
802.11n	20	6	64-QAM	58.5	-4.92	-29.04	24.12		
802.11n	20	6	64-QAM	65	-5.37	-29.68	24.31		

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 21 0173


### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.6kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Table 6-4
AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-3.65	-4.89	0.75	0.62			802.11b	6
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-29.55	-29.50	-29.51	-29.35	Axial	2.4GHz		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.40112		
S+N/N (dB)	25.90	24.61	30.26	29.97				

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 22 01 73

## 7. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

## I. Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

#### 1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 64kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

#### 2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

#### 3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation<sup>3</sup>. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

## II. DUT Configuration for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

#### 1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The 6kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

Table 7-1
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)

Oddec investigation - OTT voil (EDOL)								
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel				
ABM1 (dBA/m)	9.29	9.12						
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-19.10	-19.03	Axial	661				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	001				
S+N/N (dB)	28.39	28.15						

<sup>3</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 73

Table 7-2
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Couce investigation OTT von (HOLA)							
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	9.04	8.84		9400			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.33	-28.02	Axial				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai				
S+N/N (dB)	37.37	36.86					

Table 7-3


Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (LTE)

		<del>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • </del>	/		
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.82	9.01			20175
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-26.55	-26.02	Axial	Band 4	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	20MHz	20175
S+N/N (dB)	35.37	35.03			

Table 7-4
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codec investigation – OTT voil (vvii i)								
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.69	8.65		2.4GHz	802.11b	6		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-26.30	-26.27	Avial					
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial					
S+N/N (dB)	34.99	34.92						

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.III.F



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 24 01 73

# 8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

## I. UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:



Figure 8-1
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1 FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFX210APM (UMTS)

1 00 00 Abin incustrements for Ziti Az to Ar in (bin 10)								
Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.44	4.38	4.15		9400			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.90	-29.81	-29.32	Axial				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	AAlai				
S+N/N (dB)	33.34	34.19	33.47					

- · Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- · TPC="All 1s"




Figure 8-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

#### TEST SUMMARY 9.

#### I. **T-Coil Test Summary**

Table 9-1 **Table of Results for GSM** 

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.6	PASS
8.3.4	GSM	Cellular	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	22.2	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	24.2	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.2	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.3	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.5	PASS
8.3.4	GSM	PCS	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	27.5	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	29.1	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.3	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-10.

> Table 9-2 Table of Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	9.1	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.4	PASS
8.3.4	EDGE	Cellular	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	23.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	23.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.3	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.8	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.4	PASS
8.3.4	EDGE	PCS	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	27.8	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	28.6	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.4	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-11.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 73

Table 9-3
Table of Results for UMTS

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	4.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-5.9	PASS
8.3.4	UMTS	Band 5	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	33.1	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	32.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.6	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	4.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-5.9	PASS
8.3.4	UMTS	Band 4	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	32.9	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	32.6	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.6	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	4.3	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-5.9	PASS
8.3.4	UMTS	Band 2	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	33.5	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	32.3	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.5	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-12.

Table 9-4
Table of Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	9.1	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.4	PASS
8.3.4	HSPA	Band 5	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	37.1	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	36.1	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.5	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.9	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.6	PASS
8.3.4	HSPA	Band 4	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	37.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	36.0	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.5	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	9.0	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.5	PASS
8.3.4	HSPA	Band 2	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	36.9	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	36.0	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.5	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-13.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 27 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 27 0175

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

Table 9-5
Table of Results for LTE FDD

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-1.5	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-10.3	PASS
8.3.4	LTE FDD	Band 12	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	27.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	26.0	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.3	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-1.5	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-10.0	PASS
8.3.4	LTE FDD		Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	25.8	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	24.9	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.2	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-1.6	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-10.2	PASS
8.3.4	LTE FDD	Band 4	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	25.8	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	24.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.2	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-0.9	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-9.9	PASS
8.3.4	LTE FDD	Band 2	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	26.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	25.4	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.3	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-14 through Table 9-16 and Table 9-18.

Table 9-6
Table of Results for LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	9.1	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.6	PASS
8.3.4	LTE	Band 4	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	34.7	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	34.6	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.4	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-17.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 73

Table 9-7
Table of Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

C63.19 Sec.	Band	Mode	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-5.3	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-12.8	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11b	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	24.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	22.4	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.0	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-5.1	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-12.8	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11g	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	25.2	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	24.0	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.0	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	-5.0	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-12.8	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11n	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	24.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	24.5	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.0	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-19.

Table 9-8
Table of Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

				(		
C63.19 Sec.	Band	Mode	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	dBA/m	PASS/FAIL
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.9	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11b	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	34.7	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	32.7	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.5	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.7	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11g	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	35.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	33.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Axial	-18	8.4	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-0.6	PASS
8.3.4	WLAN	802.11n	Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	35.2	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	33.1	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	1.4	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 9-20.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 29 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 29 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

Table 9-9
Consolidated Tabled Results

		Freq. Response Margin		0	Magnetic Intensity Verdict		SNNR dict	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating
		Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial		
GSM	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-2.17	Т3
30III	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-2.17	13
EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-3.35	Т3
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-3.35	13
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-12.26	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-16.01	T4
,	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD	B5	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-4.83	Т3
LILIDD	B4	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-4.03	13
	B2	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B4	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-14.56	T4
	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-2.42	Т3
	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
14/1 AN	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN (OTT VoIP)	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-12.70	T4
,	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

## II. Raw Handset Data

# Table 9-10 Raw Data Results for GSM

Naw Data Nesults for Colli												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
	128	8.43	-14.09		1.32	22.52	20.00	-2.52	Т3			
	Axial	190	8.54	-13.63	-63.08	1.20	22.17	20.00	-2.17	Т3	2.6, 2.6	
GSM850	251	8.75	-13.65	1	1.22	22.40	20.00	-2.40	Т3			
Radial	128	-2.51	-27.18	-63.34		24.67	20.00	-4.67	Т3			
	190	-2.33	-26.85		N/A	24.52	20.00	-4.52	Т3	3.0, 2.2		
		251	-2.56	-26.77				24.21	20.00	-4.21	Т3	
		512	8.64	-18.89		1.35	27.53	20.00	-7.53	Т3		
	Axial	661	8.56	-19.06	-63.08	1.31	27.62	20.00	-7.62	Т3	2.6, 2.6	
00144000		810	8.25	-19.42		1.36	27.67	20.00	-7.67	Т3		
GSM1900		512	-2.54	-31.65			29.11	20.00	-9.11	T3		
	Radial	661	-2.50	-31.86	-63.34	N/A	29.36	20.00	-9.36	Т3	3.0, 2.2	
		810	-2.39	-32.12			29.73	20.00	-9.73	Т3		

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

#### **Table 9-11** Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

	1100 2000 100000 101 == 0							,			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)		Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	9.06	-14.29	-63.31	1.32	23.35	20.00	-3.35	Т3	2.6, 2.6
EDGE050	Radial	190	-0.37	-24.17	-63.16	N/A	23.80	20.00	-3.80	T3	3.0, 2.2
EDGE1900	Axial	661	8.82	-18.95	-63.31	1.35	27.77	20.00	-7.77	T3	2.6, 2.6
EDGE1900	Radial	661	-0.39	-28.94	-63.16	N/A	28.55	20.00	-8.55	T3	3.0, 2.2

#### **Table 9-12 Raw Data Results for UMTS**

	Naw Buta Results for Silving												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates		
		4132	4.39	-28.93		1.63	33.32	20.00	-13.32	T4			
	Axial	4183	4.38	-29.29	-63.08	1.66	33.67	20.00	-13.67	T4	2.6, 2.6		
UMTS V		4233	4.37	-28.77		1.65	33.14	20.00	-13.14	T4			
OWI S V		4132	-5.86	-38.69			32.83	20.00	-12.83	T4			
	Radial	4183	-5.87	-38.67	-63.34	N/A	32.80	20.00	-12.80	T4	3.0, 2.2		
		4233	-5.89	-38.88			32.99	20.00	-12.99	T4			
		1312	4.39	-28.52		1.64	32.91	20.00	-12.91	T4			
	Axial	1412	4.37	-29.07	-63.08	1.62	33.44	20.00	-13.44	T4	2.6, 2.6		
UMTS IV		1513	4.36	-29.08		1.64	33.44	20.00	-13.44	T4			
OWITSTV		1312	-5.86	-38.44			32.58	20.00	-12.58	T4			
	Radial	1412	-5.90	-38.63	-63.34	N/A	32.73	20.00	-12.73	T4	3.0, 2.2		
		1513	-5.88	-38.67			32.79	20.00	-12.79	T4			
		9262	4.32	-29.30		1.57	33.62	20.00	-13.62	T4			
	Axial	9400	4.34	-29.12	-63.08	1.54	33.46	20.00	-13.46	T4	2.6, 2.6		
UMTS II		9538	4.33	-29.41		1.61	33.74	20.00	-13.74	T4			
OWISII		9262	-5.84	-38.26			32.42	20.00	-12.42	T4			
	Radial	9400	-5.85	-38.11	-63.34		32.26	20.00	-12.26	T4	3.0, 2.2		
		9538	-5.84	-38.32			32.48	20.00	-12.48	T4			

**Table 9-13** Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VolP)

Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VOIP)											
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	9.11	-27.94	-63.31	1.48	37.05	20.00	-17.05	T4	2.6, 2.6
пора у	Radial	4183	-0.41	-36.49	-63.16	N/A	36.08	20.00	-16.08	T4	3.0, 2.2
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	8.89	-28.14	-63.31	1.48	37.03	20.00	-17.03	T4	2.6, 2.6
порату	Radial	1412	-0.56	-36.57	-63.16	N/A	36.01	20.00	-16.01	T4	3.0, 2.2
HSPA II	Axial	9400	8.99	-27.87	-63.31	1.45	36.86	20.00	-16.86	T4	2.6, 2.6
пораш	Radial	9400	-0.45	-36.48	-63.16	N/A	36.03	20.00	-16.03	T4	3.0, 2.2

## **Table 9-14 Raw Data Results for LTE B12**

N	lode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)		Test Coordinates
			10MHz	23095	-1.36	-28.35		1.25	26.99	20.00	-6.99	Т3	
		Axial	5MHz	23095	-1.46	-28.47	-63.08	1.40	27.01	20.00	-7.01	Т3	2.6, 2.6
		Axiai	3MHz	23095	-1.37	-28.41	-03.06	1.32	27.04	20.00	-7.04	Т3	2.0, 2.0
LTE	Band		1.4MHz	23095	-1.49	-28.55		1.25	27.06	20.00	-7.06	Т3	
	12		10MHz	23095	-10.11	-36.15			26.04	20.00	-6.04	Т3	
		Radial	5MHz	23095	-10.17	-36.18	-63.34	N/A	26.01	20.00	-6.01	Т3	3.0. 2.2
		Radiai	3MHz	23095	-10.27	-36.41	-03.34	IV/A	26.14	20.00	-6.14	Т3	3.0, 2.2
			1.4MHz	23095	-10.20	-36.17			25.97	20.00	-5.97	Т3	

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 31 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 310173

# Table 9-15 Raw Data Results for LTE B5

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)		Test Coordinates
		10MHz	20525	-1.30	-27.10		1.31	25.80	20.00	-5.80	Т3	
	Axial	5MHz	20525	-1.48	-28.31	-63.08	1.39	26.83	20.00	-6.83	Т3	2.6, 2.6
	Axiai	3MHz	20525	-1.42	-28.63	-03.06	1.32	27.21	20.00	-7.21	Т3	2.0, 2.0
LTE Band 5		1.4MHz	20525	-1.28	-28.61		1.24	27.33	20.00	-7.33	Т3	
LIE Ballu 5		10MHz	20525	-9.94	-34.84			24.90	20.00	-4.90	Т3	
	Radial	5MHz	20525	-9.80	-35.74	-63.34	N/A	25.94	20.00	-5.94	Т3	3.0, 2.2
	Naulai	3MHz	20525	-9.75	-35.98	-03.34	IN/A	26.23	20.00	-6.23	Т3	3.0, 2.2
		1.4MHz	20525	-9.97	-36.62			26.65	20.00	-6.65	Т3	

# Table 9-16 Raw Data Results for LTE B4

						oouito it		-													
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates									
		20MHz	20175	-1.10	-27.30		1.26	26.20	20.00	-6.20	Т3										
		15MHz	20325	-1.33	-27.35		1.32	26.02	20.00	-6.02	T3										
		15MHz	20175	-1.30	-27.08		1.31	25.78	20.00	-5.78	T3										
	Axial	15MHz	20025	-1.57	-27.75	-63.08	1.24	26.18	20.00	-6.18	Т3	2.6, 2.6									
	Axiai	10MHz	20175	-1.37	-27.35	-03.06	1.33	25.98	20.00	-5.98	T3	2.0, 2.0									
		5MHz	20175	-1.51	-27.84		1.28	26.33	20.00	-6.33	T3										
		3MHz	20175	-1.27	-27.90		1.26	26.63	20.00	-6.63	T3										
LTE Band 4		1.4MHz	20175	-1.47	-27.94		1.36	26.47	20.00	-6.47	T3										
LIE Band 4		20MHz	20175	-10.18	-35.09			24.91	20.00	-4.91	Т3										
		15MHz	20325	-9.50	-35.33			25.83	20.00	-5.83	T3										
		15MHz	20175	-9.74	-34.57			24.83	20.00	-4.83	T3										
	Padial	15MHz	20025	-9.87	-35.61	62.24	N/A	25.74	20.00	-5.74	T3	3.0, 2.2									
	Radial	10MHz	20175	-9.74	-34.92	-63.34			-63.34	-63.34	92 -63.34 N/A	-63.34	-63.34	-63.34		IWA	25.18	20.00	-5.18	Т3	3.0, 2.2
		5MHz	20175	-9.93	-35.01								25.08	20.00	-5.08	Т3					
		3MHz	20175	-9.97	-35.34						25.37	20.00	-5.37	Т3							
		1.4MHz	20175	-9.97	-35.48			25.51	20.00	-5.51	Т3										

# Table 9-17 Raw Data Results for LTE B4 (OTT VoIP)

			110	w Data	Nosuita	OIOI LIL	( :	1 4011 /						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)		Test Coordinates		
		20MHz	20175	8.83	-25.90		1.41	34.73	20.00	-14.73	T4			
		15MHz	20175	8.70	-26.04		1.60	34.74	20.00	-14.74	T4			
	Axial	10MHz	20175	9.09	-26.69	-63.31	1.58	35.78	20.00	-15.78	T4	2.6, 2.6		
	Axiai	5MHz	20175	8.94	-26.34	-03.31	1.46	35.28	20.00	-15.28	T4	2.0, 2.0		
		3MHz	20175	8.92	-26.53		1.41	35.45	20.00	-15.45	T4			
LTE Band 4		1.4MHz	20175	8.88	-26.89		1.35	35.77	20.00	-15.77	T4			
LIE Ballu 4		20MHz	20175	-0.80	-35.36			34.56	20.00	-14.56	T4			
		15MHz	20175	-0.67	-35.28			34.61	20.00	-14.61	T4			
	Dadial	10MHz	20175	-0.79	-35.52	62.46	N/A	34.73	20.00	-14.73	T4	3.0, 2.2		
	Radial –	5MHz	20175	-0.70	-35.63	-63.16	-63.16	-63.16	IN/A	34.93	20.00	-14.93	T4	3.0, 2.2
		3MHz	20175	-0.72	-35.51					34.79	20.00	-14.79	T4	
		1.4MHz	20175	-0.56	-35.84			35.28	20.00	-15.28	T4	1		

# Table 9-18 Raw Data Results for LTE B2

					Dutan							
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	18900	-0.91	-26.87		1.33	25.96	20.00	-5.96	T3	
		15MHz	18900	-0.92	-27.36		1.30	26.44	20.00	-6.44	Т3	
	Axial	10MHz	18900	-0.74	-27.73	-63.08	1.27	26.99	20.00	-6.99	T3	2.6, 2.6
	Axiai	5MHz	18900	-0.90	-28.01	-03.06	1.35	27.11	20.00	-7.11	T3	2.0, 2.0
		3MHz	18900	-0.87	-27.85		1.26	26.98	20.00	-6.98	T3	
LTE Band 2		1.4MHz	18900	-0.79	-28.12		1.33	27.33	20.00	-7.33	T3	
LIE Ballu 2		20MHz	18900	-9.76	-35.41			25.65	20.00	-5.65	T3	
		15MHz	18900	-9.78	-35.35			25.57	20.00	-5.57	T3	
	Radial	10MHz	18900	-9.89	-35.27	-63.34	N/A	25.38	20.00	-5.38	T3	3.0, 2.2
	Nauiai	5MHz	18900	-9.72	-35.38	-03.34	IN/A	25.66	20.00	-5.66	T3	3.0, 2.2
		3MHz	18900	-9.69	-35.83			26.14	20.00	-6.14	Т3	
		1.4MHz	18900	-9.64	-35.73			26.09	20.00	-6.09	Т3	

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 73

# Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	-5.25	-29.28		1.13	24.03	20.00	-4.03	Т3	
	Axial	6	-5.12	-29.10	-63.69	1.04	23.98	20.00	-3.98	Т3	2.6, 2.6
WLAN		11	-5.13	-29.36		1.06	24.23	20.00	-4.23	Т3	
802.11b		1	-12.56	-34.98			22.42	20.00	-2.42	Т3	
	Radial	6	-12.78	-35.32	-64.06	N/A	22.54	20.00	-2.54	Т3	3.0, 2.0
		11	-12.56	-35.17			22.61	20.00	-2.61	Т3	
WLAN	Axial	6	-5.13	-30.32	-63.69	0.97	25.19	20.00	-5.19	Т3	2.6, 2.6
802.11g	Radial	6	-12.76	-36.73	-64.06	N/A	23.97	20.00	-3.97	Т3	3.0, 2.0
WLAN	Axial	6	-5.03	-29.05	-63.69	0.97	24.02	20.00	-4.02	Т3	2.6, 2.6
802.11n	Radial	6	-12.78	-37.32	-64.06	N/A	24.54	20.00	-4.54	Т3	3.0, 2.0

Table 9-20
Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

			INAW DO	ata Nesu	113 101 2.4	TOTIZ VVII	1 (011 )	UIF)					
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Margin (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates		
		1	8.55	-28.58		1.36	37.13	20.00	-17.13	T4			
	Axial	6	8.97	-25.93	-63.31	1.47	34.90	20.00	-14.90	T4	2.6, 2.6		
WLAN		11	8.39	-26.34		1.51	34.73	20.00	-14.73	T4			
802.11b		1	-0.92	-33.89			32.97	20.00	-12.97	T4			
	Radial	6	-0.73	-33.43	-63.16	N/A	32.70	20.00	-12.70	T4	3.0, 2.2		
		11	-0.89	-33.81			32.92	20.00	-12.92	T4			
WLAN	Axial	6	8.50	-26.48	-63.31	1.42	34.98	20.00	-14.98	T4	2.6, 2.6		
802.11g	Radial	6	-0.73	-34.54	-63.16	N/A	33.81	20.00	-13.81	T4	3.0, 2.2		
WLAN	Axial	6	8.42	-26.77	-63.31	1.39	35.19	20.00	-15.19	T4	2.6, 2.6		
802.11n	Radial	6	-0.58	-33.69	-63.16	N/A	33.11	20.00	-13.11	T4	3.0, 2.2		

#### III. Test Notes

#### A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→More→Hearing aids) as well as Noise Suppression
  Mode (Phone→Call Settings→More→Noise Suppression) was set to ON as well as for
  Frequency Response compliance.
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

### B. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

#### C. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 33 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 33 01 73

#### D. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 4 at 15MHz is the worst case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

#### E. WIFI


- 1. Radio Configuration
  - a. 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
  - b. 802.11g: 64QAM, 48Mbps
  - c. 802.11n: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.6kbps
- 3. The worst case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

#### F. OTT VolP


- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 6kbps
- 2. EDGE Configuration
  - a. MCS Index: 7
  - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 3. HSPA Configuration:
  - a. Release: 6
  - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 4. LTE FDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. LTE Band 4 was the worst-case band from VoLTE testing.
- 5. WLAN Configuration:
  - a. Radio Configuration
    - i. 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
    - ii. 802.11g: 64QAM, 48Mbps
    - iii. 802.11n: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
  - b. The worst case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 34 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 34 0173

# IV. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

### V. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 9-21
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 11/11/2017

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.156	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.08	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.260	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.34	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 33 01 73

Table 9-22
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 12/02/2017

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.162	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.69	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.312	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.06	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS

Table 9-23
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 12/20/2017

Item	Target	Result	Verdict	
Axial				
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.175	PASS	
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.31	PASS	
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS	
Radial				
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.302	PASS	
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.16	PASS	
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS	

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73

## VI. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays




Figure 9-1
T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

### Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a black cursor on the contour plots. The VoWIFI over IMS radial measurement location is indicated by a cyan cursor.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	-COIL) TEST REPORT LG	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 37 0173

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

## 10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 10-1
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

#### Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73

# 11. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 11-1 Equipment List

	=qa.p.mont =iot					
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/11/2017	Annual	4/11/2018	7BFNM32
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	12/2/2016	Biennial	12/2/2018	PS2612
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	N/A	N/A	N/A	0899-PS150
RME	Fireface UC	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/11/2017	Annual	4/11/2018	23528889
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	2/10/2017	Annual	2/10/2018	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	7/14/2017	Annual	7/14/2018	140144
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Radio Communication Tester	N/A	N/A	N/A	107826
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Radio Communication Tester	4/11/2017	Annual	4/11/2018	836371/079
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	TEM-1130
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	TEM-1124
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	925
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 39 01 73

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 40 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 40 01 73




Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



#### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.156 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.08 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 41 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 41 0173




Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.162 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.69 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 42 01 73




Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.175 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.31 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	TEST REPORT LG	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 43 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 43 of 73




Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Noise Spectrum**



### Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.26 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.34 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 44 or 75



Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Frequency Response Margin

#### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## **Noise Spectrum** -50.0 -55.0 -60.0 E -65.0 -F -70.0 -F -75.0 --80.0 -85.0 -90.0 -10k [Hz] Frequency Response 2.0 1.0 · [48] -2.0 -3.0 -1k [Hz] Results Verification 1kHz Intensity -10.312 dB Max/Min -9.5/-10.5 Verification ABM2 -64.06 dB Maximum -58.0

#### PCTEST 2017

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 45 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 43 of 73

700m dB

Tolerance curves

Aligned Data




Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.302 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.16 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	$\checkmark$	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 40 of 75



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: GSM850 Channel: 190
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 47 0175



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM1900Channel: 512

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 40 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4233
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 49 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1312
- · Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9400
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 51 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 31 0173



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE Band 4Bandwidth: 15MHzChannel: 20175

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: 802.11b
- Channel: 6
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

#### Noise Spectrum 10.0 0.0 -10.0 -20.0 -30.0 --40.0 -<u>=</u> -50.0 -60.0 -70.0 --80.0 -90.0 [Hz] Frequency Response 10.0 7.5 5.0 2.5 [dB re 1 A/m] 0.0 -2.5 -5.0 -7.5 -10.0 -12.5 -15.0 -[Hz] Results -5.12 dB -18.0 ABM2 -29.09 dB Maximum 0 SNNR 23.98 dB Minimum Aligned Response - P.50 1.04 dB Tolerance curves Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 33 of 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE850Channel: 190

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 34 of 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM850Channel: 251



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 33 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM1900Channel: 512



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4183



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 37 0173



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1312



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9400



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 39 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE Band 4Bandwidth: 15MHzChannel: 20175

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results

ABM1	-9.74 dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-34.57 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	24.83 dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 73



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: 802.11bChannel: 1

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results

ABM1	-12.56 dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-34.99 dB	<b>✓</b>	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	22.42 dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 010173



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00715

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

## **Test Configuration:**

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE850Channel: 190

#### Noise Spectrum




#### Results

ABM1	-370m dB	•	Minimum	-18.0	
ABM2	-24.17 dB	•	Maximum	0.0	
SNNR	23.8 dB	•	Minimum	20.0	

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 73

# 13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 03 01 73



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 04 0173

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M

#### HCATEMC\_TEM 1124\_Dec-07-2016



ISO/IEC 17025; 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Calibration Lab. Cert. #1533.01

I. D. No: 80578

## REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM 1124

alibration results Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil Helmholtz Coil; Before & after data same: ... X...... the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 Laboratory Environment: Ambient Temperature: 20.2 0.09 °C the current in the coils, in amperes.; Helmholtz Coil Constant; 7.09 A/m/V 31.4 % RH Ampient Humidity: Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.98 A/m 99.1 Ambient Pressure: κP« Calibration Date: 7-Dec-16 1000 Probe Sensitivity at Hz. aBV/A/m -60.23 27068 -3 Report Number: 0.974 m V/A/m Control Number: 27068 Probe resistance 904 On m . The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/284413-14

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Greph represents Probes Frequency Response.

Axial Probe Response

Measured Probe Resp.

The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure:

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cail Data: 7-Dac-2016 Measurements performed by: FC
Cailbrated on WCCL system type 9700 Felix Christopher
The demand that are represented by the Ward Calaba Cail Labella. Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dac. \$1038 HCATEMO

#### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 03 01 73

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.1.M 09/14/2017

## HCATEMC\_TEM 1124\_Dec-07-2016

## West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM 1124

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	tion Tolerance			Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks	
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	d BV/A/m	-60.23			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity	Rof. (0 a B)	«В 6 0	6.03 0.00			
			-6 -12	-6.03 -12.05			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response	Ror. (0 a B)	H <sub>2</sub> 100 126 158 200 251 316 398 501 631 794 1000 1259 1585 1995 2512 3162 3981 5012 6310 7943 10000	-19.8 -18.0 -16.0 -13.9 -12.0 -9.9 -8.0 -6.0 -4.0 -2.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0 7.9 9.9 11.9 13.9 15.9 18.0 20.2			

Instruments used for calibration:				Dato or Car.	Traceability No.	Due Dete
HP	34401A	S/N	36064102	1-Oot-2016	,287708	1-Oot-2017
HP	34401A	S/N	36102471	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	33120A	S/N	36043716	1-Oet-2016	,287708	1-Oet-2017
B&K	2133	S/N	1583254	1-Oct-2016	683/284413-14	1-Oct-2017

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016

Tested by: Felix Christopher


Callbrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall has be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labe, Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dev. # 1038 HCATEMC

### Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	POTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 73



FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2 7NF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 07 0173

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

**REV 3.1.M** 09/14/2017



ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dec. # 1038 HCRTEMC

I. D. No: 80579

## REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM-1130


alibration results Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil Helmholtz Coil; Before & after data same: ... X...... the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 Laboratory Environment: Ambient Temperature: 20.2 0.09 °C the current in the coils, in amperes.; Helmholtz Coil Constant; 7.09 A/m/V 31.4 % RH Ampient Humidity: Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.98 A/m 99.1 Ambient Pressure: κP« Calibration Date: 7-D..-16 1000 Probe Sensitivity at Hz. aBV/A/m -60.27 27068 -2 Report Number: 0.969 m V/A/m Control Number: 27068 Probe resistance 902 On m .

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

683/284413-14 This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Greph represents Probes Frequency Response



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : Calibration was performed by Wast Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016 Measurements performed by: Felix Christopher Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

#### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 68 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 75

© 2017 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

## HCRTEMC\_TEM-1130\_Dec-07-2016

## West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	nction Tolera		Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	d BV/A/m	-60.27		
2.0	Probe Level Linearity	Rof. (0 a B)	«В 6 0	6.03 0.00		
		(,	-6 -12	-6.03 -12.06		
3.0	Probe Frequency Response	Ror. (0 d B)	H <sub>z</sub> 100 126 158 200 251 316 398 501 631 794 1000 1259 1585 1995 2512 3162 3981 5012 6310 7943 10000	-19.9 -18.0 -16.0 -13.9 -12.0 -10.0 -8.0 -6.0 -4.0 -2.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0 7.9 9.9 11.9 13.9 15.9 18.0 20.2		

Instruments used for calibrati	en:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Dete
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	1-Oot-2016	,287708	1-00,-2017
HP	34401A	S/N 36102471	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	1-Oet-2016	.287708	1-Oct-2017
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	1-Oct-2016	683/284413-14	1-Oct-2017

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016

Tested by: Fellx Christopher

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document chait has be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labe, In-

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dev. # 1038 HCRTEMC

### Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		Fage 09 01 73

## 14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 70 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 70 01 73

#### 15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017 3.
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5 FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- 6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May,
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1013, July 1981,
- EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage / Tol / 3

- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFX210APM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 75
1M1711080290-08-R2.ZNF	11/11/2017 - 12/26/2017	Portable Handset		rage 12 01 13