



## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

**Applicant Name:**

LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.  
1000 Sylvan Avenue  
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632  
United States

**Date of Testing:**

11/09/15 – 11/18/15

**Test Site/Location:**

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

**Document Serial No.:**

0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF

**FCC ID:**
**ZNFL17AG**
**APPLICANT:**
**LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.**
**DUT Type:**

Portable Handset

**Application Type:**

Certification

**FCC Rule Part(s):**

CFR §2.1093

**Model(s):**

LGL17AG, L17AG, LG-L17AG

Equipment Class	Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	SAR	
			1 gm Head (W/kg)	1 gm Body-Worn (W/kg)
PCE	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	824.20 - 848.80 MHz	0.70	0.97
PCE	UMTS 850	826.40 - 846.60 MHz	0.68	0.95
PCE	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz	0.85	0.58
PCE	UMTS 1900	1852.4 - 1907.6 MHz	0.98	0.79
DTS	2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2462 MHz	0.37	0.50
DSS/DTS	Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz	N/A	
<b>Simultaneous SAR per KDB 690783 D01v01r03:</b>			1.35	1.47

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 1.6 of this report; for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.


  
Randy Ortanez  
President


The SAR Tick is an initiative of the Mobile Manufacturers Forum (MMF). While a product may be considered eligible, use of the SAR Tick logo requires an agreement with the MMF. Further details can be obtained by emailing: [sartick@mmfa.info](mailto:sartick@mmfa.info).

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 1 of 33

## T A B L E   O F   C O N T E N T S

1	DEVICE UNDER TEST .....	3
2	INTRODUCTION .....	6
3	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT .....	7
4	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS .....	8
5	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS .....	9
6	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS .....	12
7	FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES .....	13
8	RF CONDUCTED POWERS .....	16
9	SYSTEM VERIFICATION .....	19
10	SAR DATA SUMMARY .....	21
11	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS .....	26
12	SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY .....	28
13	EQUIPMENT LIST .....	29
14	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	30
15	CONCLUSION .....	31
16	REFERENCES .....	32
APPENDIX A: SAR TEST PLOTS		
APPENDIX B: SAR DIPOLE VERIFICATION PLOTS		
APPENDIX C: PROBE AND DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES		
APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS		
APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION		
APPENDIX F: DUT ANTENNA DIAGRAM & SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS		

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset

# 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

## 1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	Voice/Data	824.20 - 848.80 MHz
UMTS 850	Voice/Data	826.40 - 846.60 MHz
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	Voice/Data	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz
UMTS 1900	Voice/Data	1852.4 - 1907.6 MHz
2.4 GHz WLAN	Data	2412 - 2462 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz

## 1.2 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.

Mode / Band	Voice (dBm)	Burst Average GMSK (dBm)		Burst Average 8-PSK (dBm)	
	1 TX Slot	1 TX Slot	2 TX Slots	1 TX Slot	2 TX Slots
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	Maximum	<b>32.7</b>	<b>32.7</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>27.2</b>
	Nominal	<b>32.2</b>	<b>32.2</b>	<b>30.2</b>	<b>26.7</b>
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	Maximum	<b>29.7</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>28.7</b>	<b>26.2</b>
	Nominal	<b>29.2</b>	<b>29.2</b>	<b>28.2</b>	<b>25.7</b>

Mode / Band	Modulated Average (dBm)		
	3GPP WCDMA	3GPP HSDPA	3GPP HSUPA
UMTS Band 5 (850 MHz)	Maximum	<b>24.2</b>	<b>24.2</b>
	Nominal	<b>23.7</b>	<b>23.7</b>
UMTS Band 2 (1900 MHz)	Maximum	<b>24.2</b>	<b>24.2</b>
	Nominal	<b>23.7</b>	<b>23.7</b>

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 3 of 33

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)
IEEE 802.11b (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	<b>16.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>15.5</b>
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz) Channel 1	Maximum	<b>12.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>11.5</b>
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz) Channel 2, 6, and 10	Maximum	<b>13.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>12.5</b>
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz) Channel 11	Maximum	<b>11.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>10.5</b>
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz) Channel 1	Maximum	<b>11.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>10.5</b>
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz) Channel 2, 6, and 10	Maximum	<b>12.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>11.5</b>
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz) Channel 11	Maximum	<b>10.5</b>
	Nominal	<b>9.5</b>
Bluetooth	Maximum	<b>8.0</b>
	Nominal	<b>7.0</b>
Bluetooth LE	Maximum	<b>0.0</b>
	Nominal	<b>-1.0</b>

### 1.3 Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities

According to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, transmitters are considered to be transmitting simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds.

This device contains multiple transmitters that may operate simultaneously, and therefore requires a simultaneous transmission analysis according to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 3) procedures.

The overall diagonal dimension of the device is  $\leq 160$  mm and the diagonal display is  $\leq 150$  mm. A diagram showing the location of the device antennas can be found in Appendix F.

**Table 1-1**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenarios**

No.	Capable Transmit Configuration	Head	Body-Worn Accessory	Notes
1	GSM voice + 2.4 GHz WI-FI	Yes	Yes	
2	GSM voice + 2.4 GHz Bluetooth	N/A	Yes	
3	UMTS + 2.4 GHz WI-FI	Yes	Yes	
4	UMTS + 2.4 GHz Bluetooth	N/A	Yes	
5	GPRS/EDGE + 2.4 GHz WI-FI	Yes	Yes	WIFI Direct Only
5	GPRS/EDGE + 2.4 GHz Bluetooth	N/A	Yes*	*-Pre-installed VOIP applications are considered.

1. 2.4 GHz WLAN, and 2.4 GHz Bluetooth share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.
2. All licensed modes share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.
3. Per the manufacturer, WIFI Direct is expected to be used in conjunction with a held-to-ear or body-worn accessory voice call. Therefore, there is no simultaneous transmission scenarios involving WIFI direct beyond that listed in the above table.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 4 of 33

## 1.4 Miscellaneous SAR Test Considerations

### (A) WIFI/BT

Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1g SAR exclusion threshold for distances <50mm is defined by the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Max Power of Channel (mW)}}{\text{Test Separation Dist (mm)}} * \sqrt{\text{Frequency(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$$

Based on the maximum conducted power of Bluetooth (rounded to the nearest mW) and the antenna to user separation distance, body-worn Bluetooth SAR was not required;  $[(6/15) * \sqrt{2.480}] = 0.6 < 3.0$ . Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, the maximum power of the channel was rounded to the nearest mW before calculation.

Based on the maximum conducted power of Bluetooth LE (rounded to the nearest mW) and the antenna to user separation distance, body-worn Bluetooth LE SAR was not required;  $[(1/15) * \sqrt{2.480}] = 0.1 < 3.0$ . Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, the maximum power of the channel was rounded to the nearest mW before calculation.

### (B) Licensed Transmitter(s)

GSM/GPRS/EDGE DTM is not supported for US bands. Therefore, the GSM Voice modes in this report do not transmit simultaneously with GPRS/EDGE Data.

This device is only capable of QPSK HSUPA in the uplink. Therefore, no additional SAR tests are required beyond that described for devices with HSUPA in KDB 941225 D01v03.

## 1.5 Power Reduction for SAR

There is no power reduction used for any band mode implemented in this device for SAR purposes.

## 1.6 Guidance Applied

- IEEE 1528-2003
- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01v03 (2G/3G)
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 (SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, D02v01r01 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)
- October 2013 TCB Workshop Notes (GPRS Testing Considerations)

## 1.7 Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.

	Head Serial Number	Body-Worn Serial Number
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	03412	03404
UMTS 850	03412	03412
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	03404	03404
UMTS 1900	03412	03412
2.4 GHz WLAN	03479	03479

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 5 of 33

## 2 INTRODUCTION

The FCC and Industry Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [22]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

### 2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

**Equation 2-1  
SAR Mathematical Equation**

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

**SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).**

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)


NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 6 of 33

### 3 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

#### 3.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
  - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
  - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.

**Figure 3-1**  
**Sample SAR Area Scan**

**Table 3-1**  
**Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04\***

Frequency	Maximum Area Scan Resolution (mm) ( $\Delta x_{area}$ , $\Delta y_{area}$ )	Maximum Zoom Scan Resolution (mm) ( $\Delta x_{zoom}$ , $\Delta y_{zoom}$ )	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)			Minimum Zoom Scan Volume (mm) (x,y,z)	
			Uniform Grid		Graded Grid		
			$\Delta z_{zoom}(n)$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(1)*$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(n>1)*$		
≤ 2 GHz	≤ 15	≤ 8	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30	
2-3 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30	
3-4 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 28	
4-5 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 2.5	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 25	
5-6 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 2	≤ 2	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 22	


\*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 7 of 33	

## 4 DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

### 4.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 4-2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 4-1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front), also called the Reference Pivoting Line, is not perpendicular to the reference plane (see Figure 4-1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




**Figure 4-1**  
Close-Up Side view  
of ERP

### 4.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the acoustic output located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Figure 4-3). The acoustic output was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



**Figure 4-2**  
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom



**Figure 4-3**  
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 8 of 33


## 5 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS

### 5.1 Device Holder

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ .

### 5.2 Positioning for Cheek

1. The test device was positioned with the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 5-1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.



**Figure 5-1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek Position**


2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the pinna.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the reference plane.
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the device contact with the ear, the device was rotated about the NF line until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek) (See Figure 5-2).

### 5.3 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt


With the test device aligned in the “Cheek Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degrees.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the handset touched the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. In this situation, the tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 5-2).

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 9 of 33




**Figure 5-2 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position**



**Figure 5-3**  
**Side view w/ relevant markings**

## 5.4 Body-Worn Accessory Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 5-4). Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r02, Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode, when applicable. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is  $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$ , the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.



**Figure 5-4**  
**Sample Body-Worn Diagram**

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	PCTEST <sup>®</sup> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.		SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 10 of 33

## 5.5 Extremity Exposure Configurations

Devices that are designed or intended for use on extremities or mainly operated in extremity only exposure conditions; i.e., hands, wrists, feet and ankles, may require extremity SAR evaluation. When the device also operates in close proximity to the user's body, SAR compliance for the body is also required. The 1-g body and 10-g extremity SAR Exclusion Thresholds found in KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 should be applied to determine SAR test requirements.

Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, Cell phones (handsets) are not normally designed to be used on extremities or operated in extremity only exposure conditions. The maximum output power levels of handsets generally do not require extremity SAR testing to show compliance. Therefore, extremity SAR was not evaluated for this device.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	PCTEST® SAR EVALUATION REPORT			LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 11 of 33

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 16.8 M  
10/16/2015

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report or assembly of contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTESTLAB.COM.

## 6 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

### 6.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### 6.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 6-1**  
**SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6**

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
<b>Peak Spatial Average SAR</b> Head	1.6	8.0
<b>Whole Body SAR</b>	0.08	0.4
<b>Peak Spatial Average SAR</b> Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 12 of 33

## 7

## FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements for licensed transmitters are performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

### 7.1 Measured and Reported SAR

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, when SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. For simultaneous transmission, the measured aggregate SAR must be scaled according to the sum of the differences between the maximum tune-up tolerance and actual power used to test each transmitter. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as *reported* SAR. The highest *reported* SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

### 7.2 3G SAR Test Reduction Procedure

In FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01v03, certain transmission modes within a frequency band and wireless mode evaluated for SAR are defined as primary modes. The equivalent modes considered for SAR test reduction are denoted as secondary modes. When the maximum output power including tune-up tolerance specified for production units in a secondary mode is  $\leq 0.25$  dB higher than the primary mode or when the highest reported SAR of the primary mode, scaled by the ratio of specified maximum output power and tune-up tolerance of secondary to primary mode, is  $\leq 1.2$  W/kg, SAR measurements are not required for the secondary mode. These criteria are referred to as the 3G SAR test reduction procedure. When the 3G SAR test reduction procedure is not satisfied, SAR measurements are additionally required for the secondary mode.

### 7.3 Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for SAR

The following procedures are according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01v03 “3G SAR Measurement Procedures.”

The device is placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a RF shielded chamber. Establishing connections in this manner ensure a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [4]. Devices under test are evaluated prior to testing, with a fully charged battery and were configured to operate at maximum output power. In order to verify that the device is tested throughout the SAR test at maximum output power, the SAR measurement system measures a “point SAR” at an arbitrary reference point at the start and end of the 1 gram SAR evaluation, to assess for any power drifts during the evaluation. If the power drift deviates by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements are repeated.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		 <b>LG</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 13 of 33

## 7.4 SAR Measurement Conditions for UMTS

### 7.4.1 Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to the general descriptions in section 5.2 of 3GPP TS 34.121, using the appropriate RMC with TPC (transmit power control) set to all “1s” or applying the required inner loop power control procedures to maintain maximum output power while HSUPA is active. Results for all applicable physical channel configurations (DPCCH, DPDCH<sub>n</sub> and spreading codes, HS-DPCCH etc) are tabulated in this test report. All configurations that are not supported by the DUT or cannot be measured due to technical or equipment limitations are identified.

### 7.4.2 Head SAR Measurements

SAR for next to the ear head exposure is measured using a 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all “1’s”. The 3G SAR test reduction procedure is applied to AMR configurations with 12.2 kbps RMC as the primary mode. Otherwise, SAR is measured for 12.2 kbps AMR in 3.4 kbps SRB (signaling radio bearer) using the highest reported SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC for head exposure.

### 7.4.3 Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with the TPC bits all “1s”. The 3G SAR test reduction procedure is applied to other spreading codes and multiple DPDCH<sub>n</sub> configurations supported by the handset with 12.2 kbps RMC as the primary mode. Otherwise, SAR is measured using an applicable RMC configuration with the corresponding spreading code or DPDCH<sub>n</sub>, for the highest reported SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC.

### 7.4.4 SAR Measurements with Rel 5 HSDPA

The 3G SAR test reduction procedure is applied to HSDPA body configurations with 12.2 kbps RMC as the primary mode. Otherwise, Body SAR for HSDPA is measured using an FRC with H-Set 1 in Sub-test 1 and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1, for the highest reported SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSDPA. Handsets with both HSDPA and HSUPA are tested according to Release 6 HSPA test procedures.

### 7.4.5 SAR Measurements with Rel 6 HSUPA

The 3G SAR test reduction procedure is applied to HSPA (HSUPA/HSDPA with RMC) body configurations with 12.2 kbps RMC as the primary mode. Otherwise, Body SAR for HSPA is measured with E-DCH Sub-test 5, using H-Set 1 and QPSK for FRC and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1 and power control algorithm 2, according to the highest reported body SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSPA.

When VOIP applies to head exposure, the 3G SAR test reduction procedure is applied with 12.2 kbps RMC as the primary mode; otherwise, the same HSPA configuration used for body SAR measurements are applied to head exposure testing.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 14 of 33

## 7.5 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

The normal network operating configurations of 802.11 transmitters are not suitable for SAR measurements. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for more details.

### 7.5.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters.

A periodic duty factor is required for current generation SAR systems to measure SAR. When 802.11 frame gaps are accounted for in the transmission, a maximum transmission duty factor of 92 - 96% is typically achievable in most test mode configurations. A minimum transmission duty factor of 85% is required to avoid certain hardware and device implementation issues related to wide range SAR scaling. The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

### 7.5.2 Initial Test Position Procedure

For exposure conditions with multiple test positions, such as handset operating next to the ear, devices with hotspot mode or UMPC mini-tablet, procedures for initial test position can be applied. Using the transmission mode determined by the DSSS procedure or initial test configuration, area scans are measured for all positions in an exposure condition. The test position with the highest extrapolated (peak) SAR is used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is  $\leq 0.4$  W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions is required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg or all test positions are measured.

### 7.5.3 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is  $> 0.8$  W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is  $> 1.2$  W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is  $> 1.2$  W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 15 of 33

## 8 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

### 8.1 GSM Conducted Powers

		Maximum Burst-Averaged Output Power				
		Voice	GPRS/EDGE Data (GMSK)		EDGE Data (8-PSK)	
Band	Channel	GSM [dBm] CS (1 Slot)	GPRS [dBm] 1 Tx Slot	GPRS [dBm] 2 Tx Slots	EDGE [dBm] 1 Tx Slot	EDGE [dBm] 2 Tx Slots
GSM 850	128	32.11	32.12	30.43	26.94	26.34
	190	32.19	32.13	30.45	26.92	26.27
	251	32.14	32.10	30.42	26.86	26.20
GSM 1900	512	29.02	29.08	28.06	25.97	25.45
	661	29.00	29.04	28.00	25.94	25.43
	810	28.99	29.06	28.02	25.88	25.34

		Calculated Maximum Frame-Averaged Output Power				
		Voice	GPRS/EDGE Data (GMSK)		EDGE Data (8-PSK)	
Band	Channel	GSM [dBm] CS (1 Slot)	GPRS [dBm] 1 Tx Slot	GPRS [dBm] 2 Tx Slots	EDGE [dBm] 1 Tx Slot	EDGE [dBm] 2 Tx Slots
GSM 850	128	23.08	23.09	24.41	17.91	20.32
	190	23.16	23.10	24.43	17.89	20.25
	251	23.11	23.07	24.40	17.83	20.18
GSM 1900	512	19.99	20.05	22.04	16.94	19.43
	661	19.97	20.01	21.98	16.91	19.41
	810	19.96	20.03	22.00	16.85	19.32


  

GSM 850	Frame	23.17	23.17	24.18	17.67	20.18
GSM 1900	Avg.Targets:	20.17	20.17	22.18	16.67	19.18

Note:

- Both burst-averaged and calculated frame-averaged powers are included. Frame-averaged power was calculated from the measured burst-averaged power by converting the slot powers into linear units and calculating the energy over 8 timeslots.
- GPRS/EDGE (GMSK) output powers were measured with coding scheme setting of 1 (CS1) on the base station simulator. CS1 was configured to measure GPRS output power measurements and SAR to ensure GMSK modulation in the signal. Our Investigation has shown that CS1 - CS4 settings do not have any impact on the output levels or modulation in the GPRS modes.
- EDGE (8-PSK) output powers were measured with MCS7 on the base station simulator. MCS7 coding scheme was used to measure the output powers for EDGE since investigation has shown that choosing MCS7 coding scheme will ensure 8-PSK modulation. It has been shown that MCS levels that produce 8PSK modulation do not have an impact on output power.

**GSM Class: B**  
**GPRS Multislot class: 10 (Max 2 Tx uplink slots)**  
**EDGE Multislot class: 10 (Max 2 Tx uplink slots)**  
**DTM Multislot Class: N/A**



**Figure 8-1**  
**Power Measurement Setup**


FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 16 of 33	

## 8.2 UMTS Conducted Powers

3GPP Release Version	Mode	3GPP 34.121 Subtest	Cellular Band [dBm]			PCS Band [dBm]			3GPP MPR [dB]
			4132	4183	4233	9262	9400	9538	
99	WCDMA	12.2 kbps RMC	23.81	23.82	23.76	23.66	23.79	23.78	-
99		12.2 kbps AMR	23.80	23.84	23.78	23.63	23.72	23.71	-
6	HSDPA	Subtest 1	23.87	23.86	23.79	23.64	23.77	23.83	0
6		Subtest 2	23.89	23.88	23.80	23.68	23.73	23.76	0
6		Subtest 3	23.35	23.41	23.24	23.21	23.26	23.33	0.5
6		Subtest 4	23.37	23.42	23.29	23.24	23.28	23.31	0.5
6		Subtest 5	23.22	23.28	23.42	23.02	23.23	23.03	0
6	HSUPA	Subtest 1	21.88	22.00	21.63	21.98	21.76	22.00	2
6		Subtest 2	22.36	22.44	22.67	22.39	22.47	22.21	1
6		Subtest 3	22.20	22.19	22.18	22.07	22.19	22.20	2
6		Subtest 4	23.80	23.87	23.75	23.70	23.69	23.76	0

This device does not support DC-HSDPA.

It is expected by the manufacturer that MPR for some HSPA subtests may be up to 1 dB more than specified by 3GPP, but also as low as -1 dB according to the chipset implementation in this model.



**Figure 8-2  
Power Measurement Setup**

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset


### 8.3 WLAN Conducted Powers

**Table 8-1**  
**2.4 GHz WLAN Average RF Power**

Freq [MHz]	Channel	2.4 GHz Conducted Power [dBm]	
		IEEE Transmission Mode	
		802.11b	802.11g
2412	1	16.06	11.95
2417	2	16.22	13.02
2437	6	16.31	13.01
2457	10	16.33	13.16
2462	11	16.45	10.79

Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02:

- Power measurements were performed for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
- For transmission modes with the same maximum output power specification, powers were measured for the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.
- For transmission modes with identical maximum specified output power, channel bandwidth, modulation and data rates, power measurements were required for all identical configurations.
- For each transmission mode configuration, powers were measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there were at least 3 channels supported. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels were measured. Channel 2 and 10 were additionally measured for 802.11g per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 Section 3.1 since these channels were the closest adjacent channel with the highest maximum output power.
- The bolded data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.



**Figure 8-3**  
**Power Measurement Setup**

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Page 18 of 33

## 9 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### 9.1 Tissue Verification

**Table 9-1**  
**Measured Tissue Properties**

Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp During Calibration (°C)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, $\sigma$ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	TARGET Conductivity, $\sigma$ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	% dev $\sigma$	% dev $\epsilon$
11/9/2015	835H	23.8	820	0.923	41.794	0.899	41.578	2.67%	0.52%
			835	0.938	41.620	0.900	41.500	4.22%	0.29%
			850	0.952	41.436	0.916	41.500	3.93%	-0.15%
11/9/2015	1900H	24.1	1850	1.374	38.592	1.400	40.000	-1.86%	-3.52%
			1880	1.404	38.459	1.400	40.000	0.29%	-3.85%
			1910	1.434	38.342	1.400	40.000	2.43%	-4.15%
11/16/2015	1900H	22.2	1850	1.368	38.598	1.400	40.000	-2.29%	-3.51%
			1880	1.399	38.453	1.400	40.000	-0.07%	-3.87%
			1910	1.435	38.331	1.400	40.000	2.50%	-4.17%
11/16/2015	2450H	24.2	2400	1.800	39.720	1.756	39.289	2.51%	1.10%
			2450	1.862	39.522	1.800	39.200	3.44%	0.82%
			2500	1.915	39.358	1.855	39.136	3.23%	0.57%
11/9/2015	835B	20.4	820	0.944	54.303	0.969	55.258	-2.58%	-1.73%
			835	0.960	54.116	0.970	55.200	-1.03%	-1.96%
			850	0.973	53.959	0.988	55.154	-1.52%	-2.17%
11/16/2015	835B	21.5	820	0.970	54.118	0.969	55.258	0.10%	-2.06%
			835	0.984	53.916	0.970	55.200	1.44%	-2.33%
			850	0.999	53.828	0.988	55.154	1.11%	-2.40%
11/9/2015	1900B	21.0	1850	1.480	51.802	1.520	53.300	-2.63%	-2.81%
			1880	1.517	51.730	1.520	53.300	-0.20%	-2.95%
			1910	1.550	51.603	1.520	53.300	1.97%	-3.18%
11/18/2015	2450B	22.7	2400	1.951	51.648	1.902	52.767	2.58%	-2.12%
			2450	2.019	51.449	1.950	52.700	3.54%	-2.37%
			2500	2.085	51.241	2.021	52.636	3.17%	-2.65%

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.


FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 19 of 33

## 9.2 Test System Verification

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to  $\pm 10\%$  of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in Appendix E.

**Table 9-2**  
**System Verification Results**

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED												
SAR System #	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation <sub>1g</sub> (%)
C	835	HEAD	11/09/2015	23.4	23.8	0.200	4d132	3288	1.890	9.250	9.450	2.16%
B	1900	HEAD	11/09/2015	23.5	24.1	0.100	5d148	3287	3.990	40.600	39.900	-1.72%
J	1900	HEAD	11/16/2015	22.9	21.7	0.100	5d141	3319	3.910	39.900	39.100	-2.01%
I	2450	HEAD	11/16/2015	24.1	23.9	0.100	719	3333	5.390	54.200	53.900	-0.55%
H	835	BODY	11/09/2015	22.3	20.5	0.200	4d119	3263	1.840	9.200	9.200	0.00%
K	835	BODY	11/16/2015	23.0	21.7	0.200	4d119	3022	1.840	9.200	9.200	0.00%
I	1900	BODY	11/09/2015	20.7	21.0	0.100	5d149	3333	4.060	40.400	40.600	0.50%
E	2450	BODY	11/18/2015	21.9	21.0	0.100	719	3351	5.350	51.900	53.500	3.08%



**Figure 9-1**  
**System Verification Setup Diagram**



**Figure 9-2**  
**System Verification Setup Photo**

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset

## 10 SAR DATA SUMMARY

### 10.1 Standalone Head SAR Data

**Table 10-1  
GSM 850 Head SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	# of Time Slots	Duty Cycle	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.											(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.19	0.02	Right	Cheek	03412	1	1:8.3	0.437	1.125	0.492	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.19	-0.04	Right	Tilt	03412	1	1:8.3	0.240	1.125	0.270	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.19	0.05	Left	Cheek	03412	1	1:8.3	0.380	1.125	0.428	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.19	0.05	Left	Tilt	03412	1	1:8.3	0.250	1.125	0.281	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.45	0.04	Right	Cheek	03412	2	1:4.15	0.659	1.059	0.698	A1
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.45	-0.11	Right	Tilt	03412	2	1:4.15	0.371	1.059	0.393	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.45	0.02	Left	Cheek	03412	2	1:4.15	0.613	1.059	0.649	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.45	0.03	Left	Tilt	03412	2	1:4.15	0.378	1.059	0.400	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

**Table 10-2  
UMTS 850 Head SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	# of Time Slots	Duty Cycle	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.											(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
836.60	4183	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.82	-0.06	Right	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.620	1.091	0.676	A2	
836.60	4183	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.82	0.05	Right	Tilt	03412	1:1	0.364	1.091	0.397		
836.60	4183	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.82	0.02	Left	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.583	1.091	0.636		
836.60	4183	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.82	0.07	Left	Tilt	03412	1:1	0.359	1.091	0.392		
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 21 of 33

**Table 10-3**  
**GSM 1900 Head SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	# of Time Slots	Duty Cycle	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #	
MHz	Ch.											(W/kg)		(W/kg)		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GSM	29.7	29.00	-0.01	Right	Cheek	03404	1	1:8.3	0.201	1.175	0.236		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GSM	29.7	29.00	-0.11	Right	Tilt	03404	1	1:8.3	0.170	1.175	0.200		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GSM	29.7	29.00	0.06	Left	Cheek	03404	1	1:8.3	0.448	1.175	0.526		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GSM	29.7	29.00	0.05	Left	Tilt	03404	1	1:8.3	0.205	1.175	0.241		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.00	0.03	Right	Cheek	03404	2	1:4.15	0.337	1.175	0.396		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.00	-0.03	Right	Tilt	03404	2	1:4.15	0.300	1.175	0.353		
1850.20	512	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.06	0.02	Left	Cheek	03404	2	1:4.15	0.725	1.159	0.840	A3	
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.00	0.15	Left	Cheek	03404	2	1:4.15	0.721	1.175	0.847		
1909.80	810	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.02	-0.01	Left	Cheek	03404	2	1:4.15	0.626	1.169	0.732		
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.00	-0.03	Left	Tilt	03404	2	1:4.15	0.326	1.175	0.383		
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

**Table 10-4**  
**UMTS 1900 Head SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	Duty Cycle	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #		
MHz	Ch.										(W/kg)		(W/kg)			
1880.00	9400	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.79	0.08	Right	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.374	1.099	0.411			
1880.00	9400	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.79	0.01	Right	Tilt	03412	1:1	0.449	1.099	0.493			
1852.40	9262	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.66	0.12	Left	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.866	1.132	0.980			
1880.00	9400	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.79	-0.03	Left	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.840	1.099	0.923			
1907.60	9538	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.78	0.18	Left	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.893	1.102	0.984		A4	
1880.00	9400	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.79	-0.03	Left	Tilt	03412	1:1	0.452	1.099	0.497			
1907.60	9538	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.78	-0.01	Left	Cheek	03412	1:1	0.850	1.102	0.937			
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

Blue entry represents variability measurement

**Table 10-5**  
**DTS Head SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																		
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Duty Cycle (%)	Peak SAR of Area Scan	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.												(W/kg)	(W/kg)				
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	16.5	16.45	0.01	Right	Cheek	03479	1	99.2	0.406	0.362	1.012	1.008	0.369	A5
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	16.5	16.45	-	Right	Tilt	03479	1	99.2	0.326	-	1.012	1.008	-	
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	16.5	16.45	-	Left	Cheek	03479	1	99.2	0.166	-	1.012	1.008	-	
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	16.5	16.45	-	Left	Tilt	03479	1	99.2	0.145	-	1.012	1.008	-	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram									

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 22 of 33

## 10.2 Standalone Body-Worn SAR Data

**Table 10-6**  
**GSM/UMTS Body-Worn SAR Data**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	# of Time Slots	Duty Cycle	Side	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.											(W/kg)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	
824.20	128	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.11	-0.05	15 mm	03404	1	1:8.3	back	0.607	1.146	0.696	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.19	-0.10	15 mm	03404	1	1:8.3	back	0.722	1.125	0.812	
848.80	251	GSM 850	GSM	32.7	32.14	-0.01	15 mm	03404	1	1:8.3	back	0.762	1.138	0.867	
824.20	128	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.43	-0.04	15 mm	03404	2	1:4.15	back	0.697	1.064	0.742	
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.45	-0.08	15 mm	03404	2	1:4.15	back	0.823	1.059	0.872	
848.80	251	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.42	-0.02	15 mm	03404	2	1:4.15	back	0.905	1.067	0.966	
848.80	251	GSM 850	GPRS	30.7	30.42	0.06	15 mm	03404	2	1:4.15	back	0.909	1.067	0.970	A6
826.40	4132	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.81	0.01	15 mm	03412	N/A	1:1	back	0.789	1.094	0.863	
836.60	4183	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.82	0.04	15 mm	03412	N/A	1:1	back	0.859	1.091	0.937	
846.60	4233	UMTS 850	RMC	24.2	23.76	0.03	15 mm	03412	N/A	1:1	back	0.859	1.107	0.951	A7
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GSM	29.7	29.00	-0.03	15 mm	03404	1	1:8.3	back	0.307	1.175	0.361	
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	28.7	28.00	0.02	15 mm	03404	2	1:4.15	back	0.492	1.175	0.578	A8
1880.00	9400	UMTS 1900	RMC	24.2	23.79	0.04	15 mm	03412	N/A	1:1	back	0.718	1.099	0.789	A9
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram					
Spatial Peak															
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population															

Blue entry represents variability measurement

**Table 10-7**  
**DTS Body-Worn SAR**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																		
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Peak SAR of Area Scan	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.											W/kg	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)			
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	16.5	16.45	-0.01	15 mm	03479	1	back	99.2	0.544	0.486	1.012	1.008	0.496	A10
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram								
Spatial Peak																		
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population																		

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	PCTEST <sup>®</sup> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 23 of 33

### 10.3 SAR Test Notes

#### General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003, and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements.
3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
6. Device was tested using a fixed spacing for body-worn accessory testing. A separation distance of 15 mm was considered because the manufacturer has determined that there will be body-worn accessories available in the marketplace for users to support this separation distance.
7. Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r02, body-worn SAR was evaluated without a headset connected to the device. Since the standalone reported body-worn SAR was  $\leq 1.2$  W/kg, no additional body-worn SAR evaluations using a headset cable were required.
8. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests were performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than 0.8 W/kg. Repeated SAR measurements are highlighted in the tables above for clarity. Please see Section 12 for variability analysis.

#### GSM Test Notes:

1. Body-Worn accessory testing is typically associated with voice operations. Therefore, GSM voice was evaluated for body-worn SAR.
2. Justification for reduced test configurations per KDB Publication 941225 D01v03 and October 2013 TCB Workshop Notes: The source-based frame-averaged output power was evaluated for all GPRS/EDGE slot configurations. The configuration with the highest target frame averaged output power was evaluated for Body SAR. When the maximum frame-averaged powers are equivalent across two or more slots (within 0.25 dB), the configuration with the most number of time slots was tested.
3. Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, if the reported (scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s). When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is  $> 1/2$  dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel was used.
4. GPRS mode was additionally tested for VoIP.

#### UMTS Notes:

1. UMTS mode in was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps with HSPA Inactive per KDB Publication 941225 D01v03. AMR and HSPA SAR was not required per the 3G Test Reduction Procedure in KDB Publication 941225 D01v03.
2. Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, if the reported (scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s). When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is  $> 1/2$  dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel was used.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		 <b>LG</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 24 of 33

**WLAN Notes:**

1. For held-to-ear operation, the initial test position procedures were applied. The test position with the highest extrapolated peak SAR will be used as the initial test position. When reported SAR for the initial test position is  $\leq 0.4$  W/kg, no additional testing for the remaining test positions was required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR positions until the reported SAR result is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg or all test positions are measured.
2. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 2.4 GHz WIFI operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section 7.5.3 for more information. When the maximum reported 1g averaged SAR is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg, SAR testing on additional channels was not required. Otherwise, SAR for the next highest output power channel was required until the reported SAR result was  $\leq 1.20$  W/kg or all test channels were measured.
3. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools. The reported SAR was scaled to the 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance. Procedures used to measure the duty factor are identical to that in the associated EMC test reports.

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 25 of 33

## 11 FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS

### 11.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 are applicable to devices with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11 and Bluetooth devices which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter.

### 11.2 Simultaneous Transmission Procedures

This device contains transmitters that may operate simultaneously. Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis is required. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 IV.C.1.iii and IEEE 1528-2013 Section 6.3.4.1.2, simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion may be applied when the sum of the 1-g SAR for all the simultaneous transmitting antennas in a specific physical test configuration is  $\leq 1.6$  W/kg. The different test positions in an exposure condition may be considered collectively to determine SAR test exclusion according to the sum of 1-g or 10-g SAR.

When standalone SAR is not required to be measured, per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 4.3.2 2), the following equation must be used to estimate the standalone 1g SAR for simultaneous transmission assessment involving that transmitter.

$$\text{Estimated SAR} = \frac{\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}}{7.5} * \frac{(\text{Max Power of channel, mW})}{\text{Min. Separation Distance, mm}}$$

**Table 11-1  
Estimated SAR**

Mode	Frequency	Maximum Allowed Power	Separation Distance (Body)	Estimated SAR (Body)
	[MHz]	[dBm]	[mm]	[W/kg]
Bluetooth	2480	8.00	15	0.084

Note: Held-to ear configurations are not applicable to Bluetooth operations and therefore were not considered for simultaneous transmission. Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, the maximum power of the channel was rounded to the nearest mW before calculation.

### 11.3 Head SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

**Table 11-2  
Simultaneous Transmission Scenario with 2.4 GHz WLAN (Held to Ear)**

Exposure Condition	Mode	2G/3G SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	GSM 850	0.492	0.369	0.861
	GPRS 850	0.698	0.369	1.067
	UMTS 850	0.676	0.369	1.045
	GSM 1900	0.526	0.369	0.895
	GPRS 1900	0.847	0.339	1.186
	UMTS 1900	0.984	0.369	1.353

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 26 of 33	

## 11.4 Body-Worn Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

**Table 11-3**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenario with 2.4 GHz WLAN (Body-Worn at 1.5 cm)**

Exposure Condition	Mode	2G/3G SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Body-Worn	GSM 850	0.867	0.496	1.363
	GPRS 850	0.970	0.496	<b>1.466</b>
	UMTS 850	0.951	0.496	1.447
	GSM 1900	0.361	0.496	0.857
	GPRS 1900	0.578	0.496	1.074
	UMTS 1900	0.789	0.496	1.285

**Table 11-4**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenario with Bluetooth (Body-Worn at 1.5 cm)**

Exposure Condition	Mode	2G/3G SAR (W/kg)	Bluetooth SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Body-Worn	GSM 850	0.867	0.084	0.951
	GPRS 850	0.970	0.084	<b>1.054</b>
	UMTS 850	0.951	0.084	1.035
	GSM 1900	0.361	0.084	0.445
	GPRS 1900	0.578	0.084	0.662
	UMTS 1900	0.789	0.084	0.873

Note: Bluetooth SAR was not required to be measured per FCC KDB 447498. Estimated SAR results were used in the above table to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion.

## 11.5 Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion

The above numerical summed SAR results for all the worst-case simultaneous transmission conditions were below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit and therefore no measured volumetric simultaneous SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 and IEEE 1528-2013 Section 6.3.4.1.2.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 27 of 33	

## 12 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

### 12.1 Measurement Variability

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which was determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media were required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures were applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. These additional measurements were repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device was returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it was re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR Measurement Variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

- 1) When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg, the measurement was repeated once.
- 2) A second repeated measurement was preformed only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements was  $> 1.20$  or when the original or repeated measurement was  $\geq 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 3) A third repeated measurement was performed only if the original, first or second repeated measurement was  $\geq 1.5$  W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is  $> 1.20$ .
- 4) Repeated measurements are not required when the original highest measured SAR is  $< 0.80$  W/kg

**Table 12-1  
Head SAR Measurement Variability Results**

HEAD VARIABILITY RESULTS													
Band	FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Service	Side	Test Position	Measured SAR (1g)	1st Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio
	MHz	Ch.					(W/kg)	(W/kg)		(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
1900	1907.60	9538	UMTS 1900	RMC	Left	Cheek	0.893	0.850	1.05	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram						

**Table 12-2  
Body SAR Measurement Variability Results**

BODY VARIABILITY RESULTS														
Band	FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	# of Time Slots	Side	Spacing	Measured SAR (1g)	1st Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio
	MHz	Ch.						(W/kg)	(W/kg)		(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
835	848.80	251	GSM 850	GPRS	2	back	15 mm	0.905	0.909	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

### 12.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured SAR was  $< 1.5$  W/kg for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, the extended measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2003 was not required.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT						Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset					Page 28 of 33	

## 13 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/15/2015	Annual	3/15/2016	MY45470194
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	12/30/2014	Annual	12/30/2015	JP38020182
Agilent	8594A	(9kHz-2.9GHz) Spectrum Analyzer	N/A	N/A	N/A	3051A00187
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	1/16/2015	Annual	1/16/2016	4d132
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	4/13/2015	Annual	4/13/2016	4d119
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	2/18/2015	Annual	2/18/2016	5d148
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	7/14/2015	Annual	7/14/2016	5d149
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	4/14/2015	Annual	4/14/2016	5d141
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/20/2015	Annual	8/20/2016	719
SPEAG	ES3DV2	SAR Probe	8/26/2015	Annual	8/26/2016	3022
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	6/22/2015	Annual	6/22/2016	3351
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	3/19/2015	Annual	3/19/2016	3319
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	5/20/2015	Annual	5/20/2016	3263
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	9/18/2015	Annual	9/18/2016	3288
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	10/29/2015	Annual	10/29/2016	3287
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	10/29/2015	Annual	10/29/2016	3333
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	2/18/2015	Annual	2/18/2016	665
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	8/24/2015	Annual	8/24/2016	1322
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1368
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	6/17/2015	Annual	6/17/2016	859
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	9/18/2015	Annual	9/18/2016	1364
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/20/2015	Annual	10/20/2016	1408
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/27/2015	Annual	10/27/2016	1333
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	5/12/2015	Annual	5/12/2016	1070
Mitutoyo	CD-6"CSX	Digital Caliper	5/8/2014	Biennial	5/8/2016	13264162
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/15/2015	Biennial	3/15/2017	150194929
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/15/2015	Biennial	3/15/2017	150195005
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/12/2015	Annual	3/12/2016	MY45090700
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	MY42082385
Control Company	4353	Long Stem Thermometer	1/22/2015	Biennial	1/22/2017	150053081
Control Company	4353	Long Stem Thermometer	1/22/2015	Biennial	1/22/2017	150053059
Agilent	8753ES	Network Analyzer	3/20/2015	Annual	3/20/2016	MY40001472
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/16/2015	Biennial	10/16/2017	0941001
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/16/2015	Biennial	10/16/2017	1039008
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	10/14/2015	Biennial	10/14/2017	0846215
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	10/14/2015	Biennial	10/14/2017	1027293
Anritsu	MT8820C	Radio Communication Analyzer	6/12/2015	Annual	6/12/2016	6201240328
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	3/18/2015	Annual	3/18/2016	128633
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	4/8/2015	Annual	4/8/2016	140148
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench	3/18/2014	Biennial	3/18/2016	N/A
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench 5/16", 8" lbs	3/18/2014	Biennial	3/18/2016	N/A
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	3/2/2015	Annual	3/2/2016	1344555
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	3/2/2015	Annual	3/2/2016	1344556
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	12/24/2014	Annual	12/24/2015	GB44400860
MCL	BW-N6W5+	6dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1139
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5	Power Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1226
Narda	4772-3	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	9406
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Amplifier Research	1551G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433971
Amplifier Research	15S1G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433972
COMTECH	AR85729-5/5759B	Solid State Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	M3W1A00-1002
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
MiniCircuits	SLP-2400+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	R8979500903
Mini-Circuits	NLP-1200+	Low Pass Filter DC to 1000 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A

Note: CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		
0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	11/09/15 – 11/18/15	Portable Handset	Page 29 of 33	

## 14 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Applicable for frequencies less than 3000 MHz.

a	b	c	d	e = f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub> 1gm	c <sub>i</sub> 10 gms	1gm	10gms	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
<b>Phantom &amp; Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)</b>							12.1	11.7	299
<b>Expanded Uncertainty</b> (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							24.2	23.5	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT				 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset				Page 30 of 33	

## 15 CONCLUSION

### 15.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		 <b>LG</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 31 of 33	

## 16 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

FCC ID: ZNFL17AG		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	Test Dates: 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 32 of 33

- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 4, March 2010.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D06
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFL17AG	 <b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1511091919-R3.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 11/09/15 – 11/18/15	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 33 of 33

## APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03412**

Communication System: UID 0, GSM GPRS; 2 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15  
Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.939 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 41.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.4°C; Tissue Temp: 23.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(6.41, 6.41, 6.41); Calibrated: 9/18/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2015

Phantom: Sub TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: GPRS 850, Right Head, Cheek, Mid.ch, 2 Tx slots**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 27.07 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.856 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.659 W/kg**



$0 \text{ dB} = 0.726 \text{ W/kg} = -1.39 \text{ dBW/kg}$

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03412**

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.939$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.4°C; Tissue Temp: 23.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(6.41, 6.41, 6.41); Calibrated: 9/18/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2015

Phantom: Sub TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: UMTS 850, Right Head, Cheek, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (9x15x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (6x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 26.53 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.810 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.620 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03404**

Communication System: UID 0, GSM GPRS; 2 Tx slots; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1850.2$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.368$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.597$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **Mode: GPRS 1900, Left Head, Cheek, Low.ch, 2 Tx slots**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.55 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.08 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.725 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03412**

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1907.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1907.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.432$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.351$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 24.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3287; ConvF(5.08, 5.08, 5.08); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1408; Calibrated: 10/20/2015

Phantom: Sub Twin Sam v5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1626

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: UMTS 1900, Left Head, Cheek, High.ch**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 25.47 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.36 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.893 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03479**

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.875 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 39.483$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 24.1°C; Tissue Temp: 23.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/27/2015

Phantom: SAM Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1758

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Right Head, Cheek, Ch 11, 1 Mbps**


**Area Scan (11x18x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=12\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=12\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 15.13 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.771 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.362 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03404**

Communication System: UID 0, GSM GPRS; 2 Tx slots; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15  
Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.998 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.835$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.13, 6.13, 6.13); Calibrated: 8/26/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/18/2015

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Back side, High.ch, 2 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (9x15x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (6x6x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 31.33 V/m; Power Drift = 0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.15 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.909 W/kg**



0 dB = 0.995 W/kg = -0.02 dBW/kg

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03412**

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 846.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 846.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.97$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.995$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.3°C; Tissue Temp: 20.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3263; ConvF(6.08, 6.08, 6.08); Calibrated: 5/20/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 6/17/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 (Right); Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1759

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: UMTS 850, Body SAR, Back side, High.ch**


**Area Scan (9x15x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30.79 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.10 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.859 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03404**

Communication System: UID 0, GSM GPRS; 2 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15  
Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:  
 $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.517 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.73$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 20.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;  
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/27/2015


Phantom: SAM Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1758

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, 2 Tx Slots**

**Area Scan (9x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 19.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.736 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.492 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03412**

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:  
 $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.517 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.73$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm


Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 20.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;  
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)  
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/27/2015

Phantom: SAM Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1758  
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: UMTS 1900, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch**

**Area Scan (9x15x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$   
**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 22.82 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.08 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.718 W/kg**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFL17AG; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 03479**

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.035 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.399$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-18-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3351; ConvF(4.3, 4.3, 4.3); Calibrated: 6/22/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1322; Calibrated: 8/24/2015

Phantom: SAM 5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:-1648

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 11, 1 Mbps, Back Side**


**Area Scan (11x17x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=12\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=12\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 15.38 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.978 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.486 W/kg**



## APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d132**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.938 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 41.62$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.4°C; Tissue Temp: 23.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(6.41, 6.41, 6.41); Calibrated: 9/18/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2015

Phantom: Sub TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **835 MHz System Verification at 23.0 dBm (200 mW)**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.82 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.89 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = 2.16%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d148**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.424$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.381$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 24.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3287; ConvF(5.08, 5.08, 5.08); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1408; Calibrated: 10/20/2015

Phantom: Sub Twin Sam v5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1626

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## 1900 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)


**Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.16 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.99 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = -1.72%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head, Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.423$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.372$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **1900 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)**


**Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.04 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.91 W/kg**

Deviation (1 g) = -2.01%



0 dB = 5.07 W/kg = 7.05 dBW/kg

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head, Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.862$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.522$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 24.1°C; Tissue Temp: 23.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/27/2015

Phantom: SAM Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1758

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)**


**Area Scan (8x9x1):** Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 5.39 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = -0.55%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.96 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 54.116$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.3°C; Tissue Temp: 20.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3263; ConvF(6.08, 6.08, 6.08); Calibrated: 5/20/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 6/17/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 (Right); Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1759

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## 835 MHz System Verification at 23.0 dBm (200 mW)


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.67 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.84 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = 0.00%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.984 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.916$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-16-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.13, 6.13, 6.13); Calibrated: 8/26/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 2/18/2015

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## 835 MHz System Verification at 23.0 dBm (200 mW)


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.66 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.84 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = 0.00%



0 dB = 2.13 W/kg = 3.28 dBW/kg

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d149**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.539$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.645$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2015; Ambient Temp: 20.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 10/29/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/27/2015

Phantom: SAM Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1758

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **1900 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)**


**Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.29 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 4.06 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = 0.50%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.019 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.449$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-18-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3351; ConvF(4.3, 4.3, 4.3); Calibrated: 6/22/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1322; Calibrated: 8/24/2015

Phantom: SAM 5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:-1648

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

## **2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)**


**Area Scan (8x9x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=12\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=12\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 5.35 W/kg**

Deviation(1 g) = 3.08%



## APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d132\_Jan15**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D835V2 - SN: 4d132**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

CC  
2/3/15

Calibration date: **January 16, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

### Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: Name **Michael Weber** Function **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

Approved by: Name **Katja Pokovic** Function **Technical Manager**

Issued: January 19, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### **Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

#### **Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### **Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

<b>DASY Version</b>	DASY5	V52.8.8
<b>Extrapolation</b>	Advanced Extrapolation	
<b>Phantom</b>	Modular Flat Phantom	
<b>Distance Dipole Center - TSL</b>	15 mm	with Spacer
<b>Zoom Scan Resolution</b>	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
<b>Frequency</b>	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
<b>Nominal Head TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
<b>Measured Head TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.5 $\pm$ 6 %	0.93 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
<b>Head TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.37 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.25 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.54 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.04 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
<b>Nominal Body TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
<b>Measured Body TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	55.8 $\pm$ 6 %	1.01 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
<b>Body TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.35 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.14 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.53 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.98 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.8 $\Omega$ - 2.3 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.8 dB

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.5 $\Omega$ - 4.3 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.385 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	July 22, 2011

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d132**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.93$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 56.27 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.51 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.37 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.54 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.77 W/kg



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



# DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d132**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.01$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 55.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 54.27 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.35 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.53 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.75 W/kg



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D1900V2-5d148\_Feb15**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D1900V2 - SN: 5d148**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**  
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

CC ✓  
 3/6/15

Calibration date: **February 18, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity  $< 70\%$ .

### Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Michael Weber	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 18, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### **Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

#### **Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### **Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

<b>DASY Version</b>	DASY5	V52.8.8
<b>Extrapolation</b>	Advanced Extrapolation	
<b>Phantom</b>	Modular Flat Phantom	
<b>Distance Dipole Center - TSL</b>	10 mm	with Spacer
<b>Zoom Scan Resolution</b>	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
<b>Frequency</b>	1900 MHz ± 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
<b>Nominal Head TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	40.0	1.40 mho/m
<b>Measured Head TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	39.1 ± 6 %	1.42 mho/m ± 6 %
<b>Head TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.3 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	40.6 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.37 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.3 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
<b>Nominal Body TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	53.3	1.52 mho/m
<b>Measured Body TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	53.1 ± 6 %	1.53 mho/m ± 6 %
<b>Body TSL temperature change during test</b>	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.1 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	40.2 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.5 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$52.4 \Omega + 6.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.8 dB

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$48.2 \Omega + 6.6 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.1 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.198 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	March 11, 2011

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 18.02.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d148**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.42$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5, 5, 5); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 98.30 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.8 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 10.3 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.37 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.0 W/kg




0 dB = 13.0 W/kg = 11.14 dBW/kg

## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



# DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 18.02.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d148**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.53$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.65, 4.65, 4.65); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 95.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.2 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 10.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.4 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.7 W/kg





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-719\_Aug15**

## **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object **D2450V2 - SN: 719**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**  
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **August 20, 2015**

BN ✓  
 9/3/15

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	17-Aug-15 (No. DAE4-601_Aug15)	Aug-16
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Michael Weber** *Michael Weber*  
 Function: **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

*M. Weber*

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** *Katja Pokovic*  
 Function: **Technical Manager**

*R. H. H.*

Issued: August 21, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

### **Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

### **Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

### **Additional Documentation:**

- e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	39.2 $\pm$ 6 %	1.87 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.8 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	54.2 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.48 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	25.7 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	53.2 $\pm$ 6 %	2.00 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.1 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	51.9 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.11 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.3 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$54.5 \Omega + 5.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	-23.5 dB

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$50.1 \Omega + 6.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	-23.7 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.149 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	September 10, 2002

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 20.08.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 719**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.87$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.54, 4.54, 4.54); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 102.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.1 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.48 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.2 W/kg



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



# DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 19.08.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 719**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.32, 4.32, 4.32); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

## Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 95.73 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.9 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.11 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.3 W/kg



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

