



Julie M. Kane
SVP, Chief Compliance and
Ethics Officer, and Deputy
General Counsel

77 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.973.3244
julie.kane@pge-corp.com

February 26, 2019

VIA ULS

Mr. Donald Stockdale
Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: ULS Licensing Requests, Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (File Nos. 0008520282, et al.)

Dear Mr. Stockdale:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") takes this opportunity to supplement its February 12, 2018 letter to you and provide a status update on its five-year corporate probation period related to being found guilty of six felony counts.

As you know, as part of the probation, PG&E has retained a third-party monitor at PG&E's expense. The goal of the third-party monitor is to help ensure that PG&E takes reasonable and appropriate steps to maintain the safety of its gas and electric operations, and to maintain effective ethics, compliance, and safety related incentive programs on a Utility-wide basis. PG&E continues to cooperate with the monitor.

On November 27, 2018, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Court") issued an order requiring PG&E to provide written answers regarding its compliance with the terms of its probation, including what requirements of its probation might be implicated in the event the company's operation and maintenance of its power lines was determined to be "reckless" or its reporting was found to be "inaccurate, slow or failed," such that the company was determined to have caused any of the 2017 or 2018 California wildfires. The Court also ordered PG&E to provide "an accurate and complete statement of the role, if any, of PG&E in causing and reporting the recent Camp Fire in Butte County and all other wildfires in California" since January 2017.

In December 2018, the Court issued an order requesting that the Office of the California Attorney General advise the Court on its view regarding the extent to which, if at all, PG&E's operation and maintenance of its power lines would constitute a crime under California law if it was determined to be reckless.

Mr. Don Stockdale

February 26, 2019

Page 2

In early January 2019, the Court issued three additional orders to PG&E requesting information regarding its involvement in the California wildfires. On January 9, 2019, the Court issued an order proposing to add new conditions of probation that, among other things, would require PG&E to re-inspect its electrical grid and fix any components that might have contributed to past wildfires. Further, on January 30, 2019, related to a 2017 California wildfire, the Court found PG&E had violated a condition of its probation with respect to reporting requirements. A sentencing hearing on this probation violation will occur at a later date. After January 30, 2019, the Court issued additional orders inviting parties to comment on the Wildfire Mitigation Plan that PG&E submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission on February 6, 2019, requesting clarifying information from PG&E regarding its Wildfire Mitigation Plan, and requesting information from PG&E regarding its compliance with California law.

PG&E is complying with all recent orders from the Court seeking information on its compliance with the terms of its probation and has responded to each of the Court's requests for information. As noted above, the Court currently is considering placing additional probation conditions on PG&E, and recently found PG&E in violation of its probation with respect to reporting requirements. PG&E remains committed to complying with its current probation conditions.

PG&E will continue to update the Commission as significant developments occur.

Respectfully submitted,



Julie M. Kane